Dear Director Lee:


IPO is an international trade association representing companies and individuals in all industries and fields of technology who own, or are interested in, intellectual property rights. IPO’s membership includes about 200 companies and more than 12,000 individuals who are involved in the association either through their companies or as inventor, author, law firm, or attorney members. IPO membership spans 43 countries.

IPO advocates for effective and affordable IP ownership rights and provides a wide array of services to members, including supporting member interests relating to legislative and international issues; analyzing current intellectual property issues; information and educational services; and disseminating information to the general public on the importance of intellectual property rights.

IPO appreciates the opportunity to provide comments before the publication of the final trademark fee schedule.

1. **Fees for Paper Filings**

The proposed fees for paper filings are substantially greater than those proposed for electronic filings. For example, the filing fees for a paper application will increase from $375.00 to $600.00 per class, an increase of 60% or $225.00 per class. In contrast, electronic filing fees will increase by 24% or $75.00.
We understand the proposed increases are aimed at better aligning fees with costs and improving the efficiency of the trademark process over all. Unfortunately, the drastic differences between the fee increases for paper versus electronic filings might disadvantage certain applicants. Applicants who are still using paper filing do so likely due to limited resources for filing electronically. The increased fees might discourage some of those applicants from filing in the first instance or force them to abandon their existing applications or registrations.

We believe that the Office’s stated goal of incentivizing electronic filing could be accomplished at lower fee levels than presently proposed for paper filings.

2. **Fees for Electronic Filing**

We appreciate that the USPTO has not proposed to increase the $150 per class fee for an extension of time to file a statement of use via the Trademark Electronic Application System (TEAS). We also appreciate that the USPTO has acknowledged that this fee places a disproportionate burden on U.S. applicants. We understand that it costs the Office only $17 to process an extension of time to file a statement of use filed via TEAS, no matter the number of classes. Given this disparity, we encourage the USPTO to lower this fee.

3. **Ex Parte Appeal Fees**

The notice proposes increasing the fee for filing an ex parte appeal by $200 per class. Applicants often file appeals to buy time while the Office considers a request for reconsideration. We suggest that it would be more appropriate to maintain the current fee level and require a subsequent fee if the applicant actually files an appeal brief.

4. **Fees During System Outage**

Finally, the difference between the cost of paper and electronic filings should be waived if the USPTO electronic filing system is unavailable.

We thank you for considering these comments and would welcome any further dialogue or opportunity to provide additional information to assist your efforts in setting trademark fees.

Sincerely,

Mark W. Lauroesch  
Executive Director

---

1 The processing cost information was included in the USPTO’s first fee proposal in 2015.