

Cain, Brigit

From: DiCarlo, Paul <PDiCarlo@bridgemedica.com>
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2012 8:02 PM
To: Post_Grant_Review
Cc: DiCarlo, Paul
Subject: Post Grant Review (Response to Proposed Rules)

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Since there is no real Umbrella section

- I think the motion to seal is a good thing to keep confidential information sealed.
- 50 and 70 page limits seem reasonable

Troubling if the parties settle and you are funding based on recovery based on the process Judge Smith said you would continue action and activities until you determined if the patent claims are viable? Who pays for that????
Does Congress Know your intention???

Did I miss interpret?

Paul D

Paul DiCarlo
Director of Technology Assessment
Bridgemedica
15 Walpole Park South
Suite 1
Walpole, MA 02081
Phone 508 406 2119
<http://www.bridgemedica.com/>

NOTICE: This e-mail message and any attachments may contain legally privileged and confidential information intended solely for the use of the intended recipients. If you are not an intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this message in error and any review, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other unauthorized use of this email and any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete the message and any attachments from your system.